

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - -X

LAKISHA REYNOLDS, GEORGINA BONILLA,  
APRIL SMILEY, LUE GARLICK, ADRIANA :  
CALABRESE, JENNY CUEVAS, and :  
ELSTON RICHARDS, on their own :  
behalf and on behalf of all others :  
similarly situated, :

98 Civ. 8877 (WHP)

Plaintiffs, :

ORDER

-against- :

RUDOLPH GIULIANI, as Mayor of the :  
City of New York, JASON TURNER, :  
as Commissioner of the New York :  
City Human Resources :  
Administration, BRIAN J. WING, as :  
Commissioner of the New York State :  
Office of Temporary and Disability :  
Assistance, and BARBARA DEBUONO, :  
as Commissioner of the New York :  
State Department of Health, :

Defendants. :

- - - - -X

WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, District Judge:

On December 30, 2004, this Court issued its Memorandum  
and Opinion granting in part and denying in part plaintiffs'  
requests for declaratory relief and a permanent injunction  
requiring defendants to process applications for food stamps,  
Medicaid and cash assistance in accord with federal and state  
law. See Reynolds v. Giuliani, No. 98 Civ. 8877 (WHP), 2004 WL  
3017014 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 30, 2004).

On January 13, 2005, plaintiffs moved for reconsideration of this Court's holdings that: (1) 18 N.Y.C.R.R. § 387.8 (a) (2) (i) (a) does not confer a privately enforceable right of action; and (2) plaintiffs' claim under New York Social Services Law § 133 is barred by the doctrine of sovereign immunity.

Motions for reconsideration are governed by Local Civil Rule 6.3, which provides in pertinent part: "There shall be served with the notice of motion a memorandum setting forth concisely the matters or controlling decisions which counsel believes that court has overlooked." Thus, the movant must demonstrate that the court overlooked controlling decisions or factual matters which, had they been considered "might reasonably have altered the result reached by the court." Consol. Gold Fields v. Anglo Am. Corp., 713 F. Supp. 1457, 1476 (S.D.N.Y. 1989); accord In re Initial Public Offering Antitrust Litig., Nos. 01 Civ. 2014 (WHP), 01 Civ. 11420 (WHP), 2004 WL 789770, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 13, 2004).

Plaintiffs argue that this Court overlooked several Second Circuit decisions enforcing the obligation of local public assistance agencies to provide assistance to the needy even where such agencies also serve as agents of the state for some purposes. See Henrietta D. v. Bloomberg, 331 F.3d 261 (2d Cir. 2004); Koster v. Perales, 903 F.2d 131 (2d Cir. 1990);


Holley v. Lavine, 605 F.2d 623 (2d Cir. 1979). This Court notes that the issue concerning Eleventh Amendment immunity was not fully briefed or argued by the parties prior to this Court's December 30, 2004 Memorandum and Opinion.

After considering the parties' motion papers, plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration is granted. Upon reconsideration, this Court concludes that plaintiffs' pendent state claims under 18 N.Y.C.R.R. § 387.8 (a)(2)(i)(a) and New York Social Services Law § 133 are privately enforceable in this action. This Court's reasoning is set forth in an Amended Memorandum and Opinion dated February 14, 2005.

To avoid piecemeal determinations, this Court amends its original findings of fact and conclusions of law to reflect its decision on plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration. The December 30, 2004 Memorandum and Opinion is withdrawn and is superceded by the Amended Memorandum and Opinion dated February 14, 2005.

Dated: February 14, 2005  
New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

  
WILLIAM H. PAULEY III  
U.S.D.J.

*Copies mailed to:*

Henry Freedman, Esq.  
Welfare Law Center, Inc.  
275 Seventh Avenue  
New York, NY 10001  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Yisroel Schulman, Esq.  
New York Legal Assistance Group  
450 West 33<sup>rd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10001  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Scott Rosenberg, Esq.  
The Legal Aid Society  
Civil Division  
199 Water Street  
New York, NY 10038  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Kenneth Rosenfeld, Esq.  
Northern Manhattan Improvement Corp.  
76 Wadsworth Avenue  
New York, NY 10036  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Jonathan L. Pines, Esq.  
Office of the Corporation Counsel of the City of New York  
100 Church Street, Room 2-150  
New York, NY 10007  
*Attorneys for City Defendants*

Charles A. Miller, Esq.  
Covington & Burling  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
*Attorneys for City Defendants*

James M. Hershler, Esq.  
William H. Bristow, III, Esq.  
Assistant Attorneys General of the State of New York  
120 Broadway  
New York, NY 10271  
*Attorneys for State Defendants*