

LSM

DISK

In Re the Marriage of:

DEBRA A. VAN PRICE,

Petitioner,

and

ROBERT A. VAN PRICE,

Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND
ORDER OF THE COURT ON
PETITIONER'S CHILD SUPPORT
MOTIONS

2 p
10/7275

Case No. 81-FA-31

RECEIVED

MAY 23 1995

WINNEBAGO COURT

MAR 10 1995

CLERK OF COURT

JULIE PAGE

The matter came on for beaq~nqibelfor~ltBe &norable Joseph V. Yana, Family Court Commissioner, on February 7, 1995. Petitioner appeared in person and with her attorneys, Legal Services of Northeastern Wisconsin, Inc., by Karen S. Roehl. Respondent appeared in person and Attorney Karen L,' %ffert appeared for the Child Support Agency. The Court makes the following findings:

1. Pursuant to Sec. 767.32(1)(b), there is a change of circumstances because there has been more than thirty three months since the last child support order;
2. The petitioner's employment history is poor, but it is not clear if it is voluntary or involuntary and therefore difficult to set support based on earning capacity;
3. Her health problems continue to interfere with her employability. Currently, petitioner is recuperating from surgery to her shoulder and may be released by her doctor for work in the near future.
4. Since the petitioner's motion to reopen the judgment concerns an Order issued by Judge Williams, this Court does not have authority to entertain it.

BASED ON THESE FINDINGS, IT IS ORDERED:

1. No child support obligation is set at this time, but the Court reserves the right to set support at a subsequent hearing back to the date of this hearing;

2. The petitioner shall work with DVR and follow their recommendations;

3. As soon as petitioner is able to work, due to a temporary condition involving surgery to her shoulder, she shall seek work at a minimum of 15 places of employment each month and shall file the form with the Child Support Agency verifying her employment search, as long as the work search complies with DVR's recommendations;

4. That this matter is adjourned on a day to day basis and can be rescheduled at any time if the petitioner finds employment;

5. The parties are referred to Judge Williams for the motion to reopen the judgment.

Dated this 7 day of February, 1995, NUNC PRO TUNC,
February 6, 1995.

BY THE COURT:

Joseph V. Yana
Family Court Commissioner

Submitted to other counsel for approval
by petitioner's counsel, Karen S. Roehl,
this 7 day of February, 1995.

Approved this 3 day of February, 1995 by

Karen L. Seifert
Karen L. Seifert, Child Support Attorney